

Summary of Feedback from Site Groups at the Tertiary EfS Symposium, Oct 15, 2014

The following table shows a summary of responses received from participants at the La Trobe, UTS, Griffith and Curtin University sites. Responses are organized according to the two questions posed for group discussion:

1. What are the purposes of a national network?

Responses	La Trobe	UTS	Griffith	Curtin
Advocacy & lobbying – national voice	X	X	X	
Benchmarking		X		
Building consistency			X	
Centralised, up-dated info & resources	X	X	X	X
Collaboration re projects/research	X	X	X	X
Community of Practice -share, mentor	X	X	X	X
Graduate attributes/ accreditation				X
Identifying values & purposes	X		X	X
Linking with industry & policy sectors				X
Linking to international effort/ models			X	X
Linking with Threshold LOs			X	
Making demand for EfS visible				X
Peer/collegial support			X	
Strategy building re engaging leaders		X		
Streamlining-avoiding duplication			X	
Reinvigorating efforts		X		

2. What would make it work?

Responses	La Trobe	UTS	Griffith	Curtin
Build nexus bw/n research & practice	X			
Create research/project interests links	X		X	X
Communication strategy	X		X	X
Cross/trans disciplinary linkages	X			
Discipline-based section on website			X	
Funding for admin/ support	X	X	X	
Inclusive & supportive environment			X	
Leverage via industry skill needs				X
Link with other professional networks	X			
Promoting network – publicity	X	X	X	X
Regular activity – keep it alive!	X	X	X	
Seeking possibilities/ opportunities	X	X		X
Sharing case studies/ successes			X	
Tangible outcomes (grants/ research)		X	X	